by whe$_a$ their cases would be referred to them?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CffINTA MOHAN)- (a) and (b) The complaint in the closed case was a general one, personal between th$_e$ complainant and one of the officers of this Department. It would not be in public interest to give the details of the case.

(c) to (g) A decision is yet to be taken in the other cases.

Import of Carbamezapine

2286. SHRI BEKAL UTSAHI: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 3097 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 19th December, 1991 and state:

(a) what is the price at which Carbamezapine is being imported by Mls. Hindustan Ciba Geigy;

(b) whether it is a fact that others are importing th$_e$ same drug at less than half the price;

(c) what are the names of the five other major formulations and what is the price at which each is importing;

(d) whether it is a fact that instead of rectifying the situation the consumer is made to pay double the price of the formulations; and

(e) whether it is a fact that {.rice control on the formulations is being used to protect over invoicing of M's Hindustan Ciba Geigy and for giving unintended benefit to others?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRl CHINTA MOHAN): (a) to (c) To the extent information is available, there arc 4 known formulators of the bulk drug Carabmezapine namely M/s. Litica, M/s. S. G. Pharmaceuticals, M/s. Sun Pharma and Mls Torrent. Imports by individual comuanies are not monitored by this Department.

(d) and (e) Normally DGHS weighted average import price is adopted for the fixation of the prices of formulations baaed on imported bulk drugs. However, in case of syrup and tablets based on Carbamezapine different prices of the imported drug have been taken, in view of the technical reason given by the party which was considered and found to be reasonable.

Reviving Central Public Sector Pharmaceutical Units

2287. SHRI SUNIL BASU RAY: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether any efforts have been made to revive three Calcutta based Central Public Sector Pharmaceutical units before referring them to BIFR;

(b) if so, the unit-wise details of the efforts made therein; and

(c) whether there is any fresh pro posal to revive those units?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a) to (c) There are three public sector pharmaceutical units at Calcutta, namely, Bengal Chemicals and Pharmaceuticals Ltd., Bengal Immunity Ltd. and Smith Stanistreet Pharmaceuticals Ltd. All these three companies have been incurring heavy cash losses over the years. The Rehabilitation plans prepared ill consultation with the Industrial Reconstruction Bank of India (IRBI) in respect of Bengal Chemicals & Pharmaceuticals Ltd., and Bengal Immunity Ltd. indicate that it would require sizeable injection of plan and non-plan funds besides writing-off of the Government loans and interest and accumlated losses. The rehabilitation plan in respect of Smith Stanistreet Pharmaceuticals Ltd.. which is yet to be finalised, would also involve substantial investment besides writing-off of the accu-